IAP6 Rec'd P.CT/PTO 29 MAR 2006

IN THE WITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

KOPCHICK, et al.

Serial No.: 10/524,237

Filed: February 10, 2005

For: DIAGNOSIS OF KIDNEY
DAMAGE AND PROTECTION
AGAINST SAME

Art Unit:

Examiner:

Mashington, D.C.

March 29, 2006

Docket No.: KOPCHICK=5A

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Since filing the response to notice of missing requirements on December 15, 2005, it has come to our attention that we inadvertently overlooked the fact that the petition to change inventor's name was granted in July 2005. Unfortunately, there was no reference to the granting of the petition (or indeed, to the submission of the petition) in the October 28, 2005 NMR.

We now submit, as a substitute declaration, a 1.63 declaration in which the former Amy Holland signs as Amy Wetzel, consistent with the granted petition. We also submit a second 1.63 declaration, signed by the remaining inventors, and a supplemental ADS.

It is believed that since the original response supplied two declarations, collectively executed by all inventors, that the requirement of the NMR were met. Moreover, even if they were not

The state of the state of

USSN - 10/524,237

met, a good faith effort was made to comply. Consequently, we don't believe that any further extension fees are due. However, if we are mistaken, please charge them to deposit account 02-4035.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

Accordings the Applicance

Iver P. Coope:

Reg. No. 28,005

Enclosures

-Supplemental ADS

-1.63 declaration

624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile: (202) 737-3528

IPC:lms

 ${\tt G:\lipc\d-f\Edis\Kopchick5A\pto\ suppl\ resp.wpd}$

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 1}$ The front page of the response stated that we were waiting for action on the petition.